UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
v. Ralph Lamont Blevins Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00189-PLM					
After conducting a detention hearing under that the defendant be detained pending trial.	r the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require					
	Part I – Findings of Fact					
	e described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ocal offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had					
a crime of violence as defined in 1 which the prison term is 10 years of	8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for or more.					
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.						
an offense for which a maximum p	orison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:					
a felony committed after the defen U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or com	dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 parable state or local offenses.					
any felony that is not a crime of vic	plence but involves:					
a minor victim the possession or use a failure to register un	of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon der 18 U.S.C. § 2250					
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was or local offense.	2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapse offense described in finding (1).	3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).					
	4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.					
	Alternative Findings (A)					
(1) There is probable cause to believe that t	he defendant has committed an offense					
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S	of ten years or more is prescribed in: S.C. 801 et seq.) .*					
	sumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ppearance and the safety of the community.					
	Alternative Findings (B)					
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will not appear.					
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will endanger the safety of another person or the community.					
Part II – St	tatement of the Reasons for Detention					
evidence a preponderance of the evidence th						
1. Defendant has a pattern of violating conditions						
2. Defendant has a history of committing new crir3. Defendant has a pattern of similar criminal beh						
4. Defendant has an unstable living situation.						
5. Defendant has a history of using controlled sub6. Defendant has admitted to daily marijuana use						
Part III	I – Directions Regarding Detention					

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 27, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	